



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A <sup>r</sup>	ITORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,631	10/27/2003	Darrin F. Jones		9539-000096	2606	
27572 75	590 12/20/2004			EXAMINER		
HARNESS, D	ICKEY & PIERCE,	P.L.C.		TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIELL	THEES, WIT 40505		<b></b>	3725		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/694,631		JONES ET AL.	( <b>)</b> / <b>(</b>				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Tolan Edw		3725					
Period for A SHOTHE I - Externation - If the - If NO - Failure	or Reply  ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Y IS SET TO 36(a). In no ever y within the statu will apply and will , cause the appli g date of this com	or, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) day: expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the top become ABANDONE	S) FROM  nely filed  s will be considered timely the mailing date of this condition to the condition of the	y.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from cor							
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 11 May 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	☑ accepted drawing(s) b tion is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d). ΓΟ-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have beer ts have beer brity docume u (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s)								
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-20-2004</u> .	)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/694,631

Art Unit: 3725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ando (4,346,581). Ando discloses a method (figs. 2-5) of forming fittings having a receiver end (31) and a head end (32). The method comprises providing a die (2) defining a die cavity with a first portion (3) and a second portion (4), with the second portion having a smaller cross-section than the first portion. A hollow tube (A) is loaded into the first portion of the die (fig. 2) and a punch (11) having a body (11c) is inserted into the hollow tube (figs. 3 and 4) in order to extrude a portion of the tube into the second die portion (4) with a head portion remaining in the first die portion. The first portion defines an opening that is larger than the hollow tube. The first portion includes a tapered transition portion (7).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to manufacture fittings that have tubular portions with lesser wall thickness than the associated head portions for a variety of uses. Applicant's receiver tube is a pipe fitting.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/694,631

Art Unit: 3725

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ando (4,346,581) in view of Marquardt (5,203,194). Ando does not disclose that the receiver portion of the fitting is rectangular. Marquardt teaches that it is known to provide a die (62,64) that produces a rectangular hollow cross sectional fitting. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide a rectangular die as taught by Marquardt to Ando in order to form fittings with shapes other than circular.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ando (4,346,581) in view of Squires (1,966,053). Ando does not disclose a grain pattern. Squires teaches grain patterns (figs. 7 and 8) which result from upsetting flanging operations. It is inherent that the grain patterns of Ando approximate the teachings of Squires since the extrusion (upsetting) processes are similar.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525.

EDTOLAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER